History of the Naval Battalion of the National Guard of California and the California Naval Militia 1891-1917

This history was completed in 1940 by the Works Progress Administration (WPA) in conjunction with the California National Guard and the California State Library.

Digitized by the History Office, Camp San Luis Obispo, 23 January 2015

Original document on file at the California State Library

NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA

NAVAL MILITIA OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1891-1917

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

EV. 12]

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Assistance in Preparation of these Materials was Furnished by the Personnel of Work Projects Administration Official Project No. 665-08-3-128

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

Battalion Headquarters: San Francisco, California

Organized October 21, 1891
Mustered in October 21, 1891
Reorganized April 12, 1901*

Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers April 6-21, 1917**

COMMANDING OFFICERS			
Name	Rank	Commission	
Frederick B. Chandler, Lt. Commander*** (Resigned June 10, 1892)	Oct. 16, 1891	Oct. 51, 1891	
Michael F. Kilkeary, Ord. Officer	Nov. 3, 1891	Nov. 10, 1891	
Daniel B. Northrup, Surgeon	Nov. 3, 1891	Nov. 10, 1891	
Shafter Howard, Paymaster	Nov. 3, 1891	Nov. 10, 1891	
Charles M. Goodall, Lieut. Commander	June 28, 1892	July 11, 1892	
(Resigned Sept. 23, 1893)			
Frederick H. Stahle, Ord Officer	Oct. 26, 1892	Oct. 31, 1892	
Daniel B. Northrup, Surgeon (Reappointed)	Nov. 5, 1891	Nov. 10, 1891	
Shafter Howard, Paymaster (Reappointed	Nov. 3, 1891	Nov. 10, 1891	
Frederick H. Stahle, Lieut. Commander	Oct. 14, 1895	Oct. 23, 1893	
Marcus S. Harloe, Ord, Officer	Dec. 5, 1895	Dec. 23, 1895	
Daniel B. Northrup, Surgeon (Reappointed	Nov. 3, 1891	Nov. 10, 1891	
Frank A. Brooks, Paymaster	May 5, 1894	May 9, 1894	

.000.

^{*}Adjutant General Report, 1901-1902, page 59, General Order No. 4, page 65.

^{**}Adjutant General Report, 1914-1920, page 29.

^{***}Lieutenant Commander Chandler given rank from October 16, 1891.

Adjutant General Report, 1891-1892, General Order No. 20, page 95.

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

Service Control of the Control of th	Commanding Officers	
Name	Rank	Commission
Louis H. Turner, Lieut. Commander	Nov. 25, 1895	Dec. 6, 1895
H. B. Underhill, Ord. Officer	March 17,1896	May 2, 1896
Frederick W. Harris, Surgeon	Dec. 23, 1895	Jan. 4, 1896
Cecil C. Dennis, Paymaster	April 4, 1894	Aug. 30, 1896
Louis H. Turner, Lieut. Commander	April 1, 1897	April 12, 1897
Wm. F. Burke, Ord. Officer	April 1, 1897	Nov. 22, 1897
Fred W. Harris, Surgeon	April 1, 1897	Nov. 22, 1897
Henry B. Underhill, Paymaster	April 1, 1897	Sept. 1,1897
Notheriel W Town Commender	B - 3/ 3400	
Nathaniel T. James, Commander	Dec. 16, 1899	Dec. 20, 1899
Wm. F. Burke, Ord. Officer	April 1, 1897	Nov. 22, 1897
(Reappointed)	1 12 2 2 dom	
Fred W. Harris, Surgeon (Reappointed)	April 1, 1897	Nov. 22, 1897
Douglas White, Paymaster	T1 - 00 1400	
Douglas wille, raymaster	July 27, 1899	Aug. 14, 1899
Thos. A. Nervey, Commander	April 16, 1901	May 3, 1901
C. A. Noble, Ord. Officer	April 16, 1901	May 5, 1901
Geo. E. Goodfellow, Surgeon	May 6, 1901	June 7, 1901
Douglas White, Paymaster	May 6, 1901	July 24, 1901
	2003 09 2702	0 011 24, 1701
Geo. W. Bauer, Commander	Oct. 17, 1903	Oct. 30, 1903.
George E. Kammerer, Ord. Officer	April 8, 1905	May 29, 1905
Thos. B. W. Leland, Surgeon	April 27,1903	May 21, 1903
Archibald A. Morey, Paymaster	April, 10,1905	April 29, 1903
Charles R. Alberger, Paymaster	Oct. 29, 1905	Dec. 26, 1905
		2001 20, 2,0,
4		
Geo. W. Bauer, Commander	Oct. 17, 1903	March 23, 1909
(Reappointed)		
George E. Kammerer, Ord. Officer	April 8, 1905	May 29, 1905
(Reappointed)		
Thos. B. W. Leland, Surgeon	May 6, 1907	June 12, 1907
Cecil C. Dennis, Paymaster	April 4, 1894	Aug. 30, 1906
Coo : W Person Committee		
Geo. W. Bauer, Commander	Oct. 17, 1903	March 23, 1909
George E. Kammerer, Ord. Officer	April 8, 1905	March 23, 1909
Thos. B. W. Leland, Surgeon	May 6, 1907	March 23, 1909
Cecil C. Dennis, Paymaster	April 4, 1894	March 25, 1909

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALIION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

Name Geo. W. Bauer, Commander George E. Kammerer, Ord. Officer Thos. B. W. Leland, Surgeon (Reappointed) Cecil C. Dennis, Paymaster	Commanding Officers Rank Oct. 17, 1903 April 8, 1903 May 6, 1907 April 4, 1894	Commission Oct. 15, 1913 Oct. 15, 1913 March 23, 1909 March 23, 1909
(Reappointed) Geo. W. Bauer, Commander Henry P. Smith, Ord. Officer Thos. B. W. Leland, Surgeon Cecil C. Dennis, Paymaster	Oct. 17, 1903 Aug. 16, 1913 May 6, 1907 Aug. 18, 1916	Oct. 15, 1918 July 18, 1916 March 23, 1909 Sept. 5, 1916

DIVISIONS AND COMPANIES INCLUDED IN THE NAVAL MILITIA (FORMERLY NAVAL BATTALION OF CALIFORNIA.

Company B:

Stationed at San Francisco
Organized August 22, 1891
Consolidated with Companies C and D
July 25, 1895

First Division

(Formerly Company C)
Stationed at San Francisco
Organized September 5, 1891
Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers
May 3, 1917

Second Division

(Formerly Company D)
Stationed at San Francisco
Organized September 29, 1891
Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers
May 5, 1917

Third Division

(Formerly Company A)
Stationed at San Diego
Organized September 12, 1891
Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers
April 14, 1917

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

DIVISIONS AND COMPANIES INCLUDED IN THE NAVAL MILITIA (FORMERLY NAVAL BATTALION) OF CALIFORNIA (Continued)

Fourth Division

(Formerly Company E)

Stationed at

Santa Cruz

Organized

June 15, 1895

Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers

May 2, 1917

Fifth Division

(Formerly Company A, First Infantry, Second Brigade; formerly Company A, Tenth Infantry Battalion, Sixth Brigade: formerly Eureka Guard, Sixth Brigade)

Stationed at Eureka

Organized February 10, 1879
Reconstituted Dec. 7, 1895

Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers

April 18, 1917

Sixth Division

Stationed at Santa Barbara

Organized July 10, 1897 Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers April 14, 1917

where we's w

Seventh Division

Stationed at Los Angeles

Organized May 10, 1907 Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers April 14, 1917

Eighth Division

Stationed at Los Angeles

Organized Aprill 11, 1911 Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers April 18, 1917

Ninth Division

Stationed at Los Angeles

Organized November 19, 1914 Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers April 14, 1917

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

CHARACT MATERICA OF THE BATTOMAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA

Divisions and companies included in the Naval Militia (formerly Naval Battalion) of California (Continued)

Tenth Division

Stationed at San Diego

January 26, 1916 Organized Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers April 14, 1917

Eleventh Division

Stationed at Los Angeles

Organized April 9, 1917 Inrolled in National Naval Volunteers April 14, 1917

First Engineer Division

Stationed at San Francisco

Organized

August 30, 1897

Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers

May 4, 1917

Second Engineer Division

Stationed at

Los Angeles

Organized

April 4, 1917

Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers

April 14, 1917

First Company Marine Corps

Stationed at

Los Angeles

Organized

April 4, 1917

Enrolled in National Naval Volunteers

April 17, 1917

AINHOTILAD TO TLAZ THT TO AITLIM LAVAN

ACTIVITIES OF THE NAVAL MILITIA OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

An act of the California Legislature approved March 51, 1891, authorized the establishment of a Naval Battalion, consisting of not more than four companies of Naval Militia, to be known as the Naval Battalion of the National Guard of California.* The companies originally forming the Battalion were: Company A of San Diego, and Companies B, C and D of San Francisco. Company B was the first to perfect its organization, then in turn came Companies C, A and D. The San Diego company was designated as Company A, because of the fact that it was the first company to make application to the Adjutant General for such designation. The commanding officers of the four respective companies, met in San Francisco, October 16, 1891, and organized the Naval Battalion, National Guard of California, and elected Frederick B. Chandler as Lieutenant Commander.** The organization perfected, the Naval Battalion was mustered in as part of the National Guard of the State, on the twenty-first of October, 1891.

The organization at first consisted largely of members of the yacht clubs and rowing associations of San Francisco, Oakland and San Diego, and aside from these men, preference was given to men with experience in the Navy. No appropriation had been made for the Battalion, but arms, consisting of Remington magazine rifles and Colt revolvers with the necessary equipment, were supplied by the United States Navy Department.*** The several companies of the Battalion arranged for the rental of armories and met that expense by collecting dues which ranged from fifty cents to one dollar per month for each active member. An admission or active membership fee ranged from \$2.50 to \$5.00, and for an honorary membership a fee of \$50,00 per annum was charged. Honorary members were entitled to all the privileges and exemptions allowed active members, but were not required to attend drills and target practice. The fees received from the later members were of material financial assistance to the Battalion.

Before a commission could be issued to an officer elect, the candidate would first have to pass an examination before the Examining Board. This examination, beside embodying all the requirements of the National Guard regulations, embraced the subjects of seamanship, naval construction, naval tactics, ordinance and international law.****

.000,

*California Statutes 1891, chapter 176, page 258.

**San Francisco Chronicle, October 17, 1891, page 10, column 6.

***Adjutant General Report, 1891-1892, page 10.

****Adjutant General Report, 1891-1892, page 180.

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

Lieutenant John J. Fitzgerald, an experienced Naval Officer, elected to the command of Company B, deserves great credit for his efforts in behalf of the organization, and for the advice and assistance rendered the officers and men of the newly organized Naval Battalion.

The uniforms to be worn by the officers and enlisted men of the Battalion were prescribed in General Order No. 18, issued September 26, 1891. The dress was similiar in design to those in use in the United States Navy.* As no financial aid was available, either from the State or Federal Government, each officer of the battalion furnished his own uniform. Those for the enlisted men were purchased with funds secured through private subscriptions of patriotic citizens in San Francisco and San Diego. In San Francisco alone, over \$2,600 was subscribed for that purpose.** The uniforms prescribed by General Order No. 18, were as follows:

Uniforms for Officer*** Service Dress

Blue service coat, blue trousers, blue caps and service sword belt. Leggings to be worn when on duty with the Naval Brigade or Landing Party. White gloves always to be worn with the sword, except at sea and on drills.

Service Coat

For all officers, a coat of dark navy blue cloth. The collar, edges of coat and side seams of the back trimmed with lustrous black mohair braid, one and one fourth inches wide, besides which at a distance of one eighth of an inch, a narrow black silk braid, one eighth of an inch wide shall be placed. On each side of the collar, to be embroidered in high relief, one inch in width, the corps badge and grade devices. The grade mark on the sleeve shall be: For Lieutenant-Commander .- Two strips of half inch gold lace with one stripe of quarter inch gold lace between, each a quarter of an inch apart. The lower edge of sleeve lace to be two inches from the edge of the sleeve. A star of five rays, embroidered in gold, one inch in diameter, on the outer side of

.000.

^{*}Adjutant General Report, 1891-1892, page 89.

^{**}Adjutant General Report, 1891-1892, page 180.
**Adjutant General Report, 1891-1892, pages 89-92.

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

each sleeve, with one of the rays pointing directly downward and the point one fourth of an inch from the upper edge of the upper strip of lace.

For Lieutenants. - Two strips of half inch gold lace one fourth of an inch apart, and star as desecribed for Lieutenant-Commander.

For Lieutenants Junior Grade. - One stripe of half inch gold lace, with one stripe of one fourth inch gold lace one fourth inch above it, and star as described for Lieutenant-Commander.

For Ensign. - One stripe of half inch gold lace, and star as described for Lieutenant-Commander.

For Staff Officers.— All staff officers shall wear the same lace on the cuffs as is prescribed for line officers with whom they have a relative rank, with bands of colored cloth around the sleeve between the stripes of gold lace as follows:

Medical Officers.— Dark maroon velvet.

Pay Officers.— White cloth.

Engineer.— Red Cloth.

Staff officers entitled to but one stripe of lace on the sleeve will wear the colored cloth so as to show one fourth inch above and below the stripe.

Service coat to be worn entirely buttoned.

CAPS

For commissioned officers line and staff, to be of regulation Navy pattern with the same device; white cap cover may be worn.

TROUSERS

For all officers, shall be of dark navy blue cloth, to have a strip of lustrous black mohair braid, one and one fourth inches wide, down the outer seam.

DEVICES

For Lieutenant-Commander. A gold leaf and a gold foul anchor.

For Lieutenants. Two gold bars and a gold foul anchor.

For Lieutenants Junior Grade. One gold bar and a gold foul anchor.

For Ensigns. - A gold foul anchor.

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

Gloves

Gloves to be of white lisle thread, except for open air service in cold weather, when white leather or heavy white cotton shall be worn.

Swords

Swords for all officers to be a cut and thrust blade not less than twenty-six nor more than thirty-two inches long, slightly curved, half basket hilt, grip white, scabbards of black leather, mountings of yellow gilt and all as per United States Navy pattern.

Sword Belts

For all officers shall be of plain black grained leather or morocco with sling straps of the same. The belt plate to be of yellow gilt two inches in diameter.

Uniform For Enlisted Men

The naval uniform shall be worn by all enlisted men of the Naval Battalion, National Guard of California, when attending drills or parades on shore, or when on board vessels of the United States Navy for drill or instruction.

Shirts

Dark navy blue flannel with large square collar of same material, small pocket on right side, tape around the collar shall be white, three sixteenths of an inch wide and three sixteenths of an inch apart, the outer strip to be one fourth of an inch from the edge. In each corner of the collar there shall be worked in white a star three fourths of an inch in diammeter.

Trousers

Dark Navy blue cloth or flannel.

Caps and Hats

Caps to be of dark navy blue cloth. Hats of eight ounce cotton duck. Hat ribbon to be of

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

black silk, one and one fourth inches wide with the words, "Naval Battalion" through the center of the ribbon, the words to be preceded and followed by a five pointed star.

Knife Lanyard to be of white cotton and of seamanlike make.

Neckerchief to be of black silk, Navy pattern.*

Working Clothes

White, of umbleached cotton duck or drilling. Collar of jumper of same material, covered on outer side with thin dark navy blue flannel.

Naval Battalion Flag.**

The flag designed for the Naval Battalion was six feet fly, by four feet six inches hoist; a blue field with crossed foul anchors, surrounded by thirteen stars; the stars to be arranged in an oval form; anchors and stars to be of white material.

Arrayed in their natty new unifomrs, the officers and men of the Battalion made a distinctive appearance and their participation in social functions, public receptions and parades was eagerly sought. The members were required to devote considerable time to matters pertaining to the organization.

Company drills were held weekly either on shore or on board their training ship. Eowing exercises were had weekly, if possible, target practice with small arms was held montly when possible, and Battalion drill in which all the companies participated was held at least once each year. With these activities and the time devoted to public function, parades in which they were required by law to participate, and the annual cruise when a practice ship was available, the officers and men of the Battalion were kept busy indeed.

.000.

*The navy neckerchief is thirty-six inches square with four black pin stripes on fourth of an inch apart, the outer one to be one fourth inch from the edge. This design was copied after the design used in the British Navy, the four stripes being commemorative of the last four battles fought by Lord Nelson, of the British Navy.

**Adjutant General Report, 1891-1892. General Order No. 4, page 121.

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

During the years 1891 to 1917, the following United States ships were loaned to the State as training ships for the Naval Battalion:

Name of Vessel	Type of Vessel	Where Stationed	Date
Comanche	Third Rate Monitor	San Francisco	1895 to 1897
Marion	Cruiser (Unserviceable)	San Francisco	1897 to 1906
Alert	Third Class Cruiser	San Francisco	1906-to 1910
Marblehead	Third Rate Unprotected Cruiser	San Francisco	1910 to 1916
Oregon	First Class Battleship	San Francisco	1916 to 1917
Pinta	Fourth Rate Gun Boat	San Diego and Los Angeles	1897 to 1907
Farraugut	Torpedo Boat	Los Angeles	1915 to 1917

In addition to the above, the following United States ships were used by the Battalion for short periods of time for drills.

Name of Vessel	Type of Vessel	Date and Purpose For Which Used
Charleston	Second rate Protected cruiser	Cruise, 1892
Olympia	First Rate Protected Cruiser	Cruise, 1895
Monadnock	Second Rate Monitor	Drill, 1896
Badger	Cruiser	Cruise and Drill,
Philadelphia	Second Rate Protected Cruiser	Cruise, 1901 Drill, 1896
Chicago	Second Rate Protected Cruiser	Cruise, 1905
Wyoming	Monitor	Drill, 1905
Perry	Torpedo Boat Destroyer	Drill, 1905
Albany	Second Rate Protected Cruiser	Drill and Practice, 1908

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

United States shipes used by the Naval Battalion (continued)

Name of Vessel	Type of Vessel	Date and Purpose For Which Used
Preble	Torpedo Boat Destroyer	Drill and Practice, 1908
Hopkins	Torpedo Boat	Drill and Short Cruise, 1915
Lawrence	Torpedo Boat	Drill and Short Cruise, 1915
Hull	Torpedo Boat	Drill and Short Cruise, 1915
Whipple	Torpedo Boat	Drill and Short Cruise, 1915

Following ia a schedule of Drills for the cruise of the Northern section of the Naval Militia on board the "U.S.S. Philadelphia," July 25-29, 1901.

First Working Day

A. M. 9:30 to 10:30	Quarters, muster at Stations. Setting up exercises. Great Gun Drill
11:00 to 11:30	Instructions in care and mechanism of guns.
P. M.	
1:00 to 2:15	Secondary battery Drill with instructions regarding care and mechanism of same.
5:00 to 5:30	Signals
	Second Working Day
A. M.	
9:50 to 10:30	Quarters
	Infantry drill with bayonet exercise.
11:00	Sighting drill
P. M.	
1:15 to 2:15	Single sticks and pistols.
3:00	Sighting drill.

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

Third Working Day

A. N.	
9:30 to 10:30	Fire quarters Collision drill Abandon ship
11:00 to 11:30	Sub calibre practice
P. M. 1:15 to 2:15	Artillery three inch and Colts Automatic Sub callibre practice continued until all hands have fired shots.
	Fourth Working Day
A. M. 9:30	Clear ship for action, general quarters with great gun target practice.
P. M. 1:15 to 2:15	Instructions at main and secondary batteries. Small arm target practice from ship. Fifth Working Day
A. M.	
9:30 to 10:30	Great gun drill and instruction.
11:00	Lead line, compass, steering, general duties.
Р. М.	Boats, small arm target practice from ship.
	Sixth Working Day
A. M. 9:30	General instructions in duties.
P. M. 1:15	General instructions and inspection of internal arrangement of ship.

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

The following schedule shows the approximate cost for subsistence for officers and men during the twelve day cruise of the "U.S.S. Badger" in September, 1899.

	Number of Officers and men	Cost per day per man	Total Cost during Cruise
Subsistence of Officers	20	\$1.50	\$138.60
Subsistence of men	250	•90	1154.45
Total			\$1293.05

Following is the approximate cost for subsistence for officers and men during the nineteen day cruise of the "U.S.S. Philadelphia," September and October, 1901.

	Number of Officers and men	Cost per day per man	Total Cost during Gruise
Subsistence of Officers	10	\$1.40	\$109.50
Subsistence of men Total	169	.85	1290.35

In accordance with General Order No. 2, dated February 1, 1892, the companies comprising the Naval Battalion were inspected by Adjutant Frank A. Brooks, an ex-Navy officer, on March 22, 1892.* This was the first muster and inspection of the Battalion and recognizing the fact of its recent creation, the inspecting officer commended the officers and men on their proficiency in drill tactics, appearance and discipline. The inspector expressed the opinion that the battalion had every reason to look forward to a brilliant future.

The three San Francisco companies joined in a short cruise to Santa Cruz, during the summer of 1892, on board the "Charleston", furnished

.000.

*Adjutant General Report, 1891-1892, page 118.

3

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

by direction of the Secretary of the Navy. Every facility was granted by the commander of the Charleston for ship and gun drills; the beneficial results of which were shown by the increased interest manifested by the entire battalion.* The Adjutant General's Department was doing its utmost to induce the Navy Department to furnish a suitable ship for the use of the Naval Battalion, but so far, without success. The use of the Charleston was permitted, but that vessel was entirely inadequate for their needs.

In July 1894, Companies B, C and D. of the Naval Battalion were called for active duty in connection with the great Railroad Strike. The following schedule shows where the companies were stationed during the strike:

Name of Company	Date Called for Strike duty	Where Stationed While on Active Duty	Command- ing Officers	Date Discharged from Active Service
Company B	July 15, 1894	Oakland, July 15 to July 30	Lt. Cecil C. Dennis	July 30, 1884
Company C	July 10, 1894	San Jose, July 10 to July 15	Lt. Colin B. Douglas	Trans- ferred
Company C		Oakland, July 16 to Aug. 8	Lt. Colin B. Douglas	August 8, 1894
Company D	July 15, 1894	Oakland, July 15 to July 30	Lt. Louis H. Turner	July 30, 1894

In Oakland the Battalion was commanded by Lieutenant-Commander Stahle and was highly commended by Major General Dimond for its efficiency.**

The Naval Battalion, under the leadership of Lieutenant Commander Stahle, participated in one of the finest civic and military parades that had ever taken place in San Francisco. The occasion for this parade was the opening of the Midwinter Fair in that city, on the twenty-seventh of January, 1894. The companies had been equipped with new uniforms and were placed fifth in the line of march.*** The Organization also participated in the ceremonies in San Francisco, November 28, 1894, at the unveiling of the Lick Monument, erected near the city hall in honor of James Lick, the noted philanthropist who spent his later years in San Francisco.

.000.

*Adjutant General Report, 1895-1894, page 4.

**Adjutant General Report, 1893-1894, pages 223, 224, 231.

***San Francisco Chronicle, January 28, 1894, page 1, column 1.

Formerly

[NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

On March 26, 1895, a law authorizing one additional company to be attached to the Naval Battalion was approved by the Governor, and the Board of Location and Organization recommended that the new company be organized and located at Santa Cruz. In pursuance of that recommendation, Company E of Santa Cruz, was organized and mustered into the service as of July 1, 1895.*

Another recommendation by the Board of location and Organization resulted in the issuance of General Order No. 11, dated July 23, 1895. Section 2 of this order directed that Company B of the Naval Battalion be consolidated with Companies C and D, and that Lieutenant Colonel N. T. James of the staff of the Commander-in-chief, and Lieutenant Commander F. H. Stahle of the Naval Battalion be detailed to carry the provisions of the order into effect. They were to determine what men of Company B should be transferred to Companies C and D, and also to recommend which of the supernumerary officers, resulting from such consolidation, should be mustered out of the service.** The reason for the elimination of Company B is not given in detail, but that company had the smallest membership of any company in the battalion, (although it was above the minimum fixed by law), and the inspection report for June 1895, indicated that the company had not maintained as high a standard of efficiency as the other companies, forming the battalion. Therefore it may reasonably be inferred that the Board of Location and organization deemed it for the best interests of the Naval Battalion and that of the State, to consolidate the San Francisco Companies, and prepare the way for another company at some other point on the coast.***

On the tenth of August 1895, in compliance with Section 3 of General Order No. 12, the following changes were made in the nomenclature of the companies comprising the Naval Battalion:

Company C to be thereafter known as First Gun Division. Company D to be thereafter known as Second Gun Division. Company A to be thereafter known as Third Gun Division. Company E to be thereafter known as Fourth Gun Division.

The entire Battalion was to be considered as one ship's company. The

.000.

*Adjutant General Report, 1895-1896, General Order No. 11 and Special Order No. 4, pages 76, 130.

**Adjutant General Report, 1895-1896, General Orders No. 11 and No. 13, pages 76, 80.

***Adjutant General Report, 1895-1896, page 196.

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

object of which was to have the name and titles of the battalion and its various units conform more nearly with those in use by the United States Navy. A new division was added to the battalion, December 7, of that year when in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Location and Organization, Company A, First Infantry, Second Brigade, formerly Company A, Tenth Infantry Battalion, Sixth Brigade, was discontinued as an infantry company and enrolled as a division of the naval Battalion, to be known as the Fifth Division, and stationed at Eureka, California.*

The Naval Battalion made steady progress during the years 1895, and 1896, and was gradually assuming a position of importance in the National Guard of California. During the summer of 1895, through the kindness of the officers of the United States Navy, the officers and men of the Battalion were permitted a cruise on board the United States Cruiser "Olympia." In 1896 they were permitted to drill on board the "Philadelphia" and the "Monadnock". Later in that year, the monitor "Comanche", was loaned to the State by the Navy Department for the use of the battalion.**

The organization was equipped with new uniforms which were furnished by the State. New arms and equipment of the latest model were supplied by the United States Government in 1896, making this branch of the State forces the best equipped body in the National Guard at that time.***

Under Section 5, of Chapter 261, of the Acts of the Legislature, approved March 11, 1897, permitting the Board of Location and Organization to distribute to four companies of the National Guard such arms of the Service as the Board deemed advisable, two additional divisions of the Naval Battalion (or Naval Militia as it was now generally called), were authorized on the twenty-fifth of June 1897. One of these to be known as the Sixth Division, with headquarters at Santa Barbara, was mustered in July 10, 1897. The other, to be known as the Engineer Division with headquarters in San Francisco, was mustered in August thirtieth of the same year, bringing the number of divisions or companies of the battalion to seven.****

In 1897, the State received from the Navy Department, the "U.S.S.

,000,

*Adjutant General Report, 1895-1896, General Order No. 17, page 87.
**Adjutant General Report, 1895-1896, page 5.
***Adjutant General Report, 1895-1896, page 206.
****Adjutant General Report, 1896-1898, General Order No. 7, page 106.

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA

"Marion", to be used for drill and armory purposes by the three San Francisco divisions, and the "U.S.S. Pinta", to be located at San Diego and used by the Third Division of the Naval Militia as head-quarters and armory. The "U.S.S. Pinta" was also used as headquarters and armory by a detachment of the Engineer Division, subsequently called the Pinta Detachment. This detachment was also referred to as the Second Engineer Division of the Naval Battalion, although it was not a regularly organized division of the State's Naval force at that time.

It was assumed that the "Marion" and the "Pinta" were seaworthy ships and in 1897 the State Legislature appropriated \$5,000 for coaling and other incidental expenses which would be incurred in the operation of them.* But it was soon learned that neither of the vessels was in condition for deep sea cruising and the Naval Battalion was little better off than before. The old monotor "Comanche" was returned to the Navy Yard at Mare Island, July 10, 1897, after a short cruise on San Francisco Bay by the First, Second and Engineer Divisions. During this cruise the ship was manned entirely by Naval Militiamen, thus demonstrating that they were capable of performing naval duty.**

Under the second call for volunteers in the war with Spain, on July 18, 1898, twelve officers including Lieutenant Commander Turner and eighty enlisted men of the Naval Militia entered the Auxiliary Naval Service of the United States. These officers and men were chosen from the battalion staff and from the First, Second, Third and Engineer Divisions and were granted leaves of absence and furloughs for the duration of the war.*** The duties performed by the volunteers from the Naval Battalion during the war were principally on board converted trigs doing patrol duty along the California Coast. A few officers and men were engaged in the United States transport service.****

About this time the Navy Department at Washington awoke to the necessity of a National Naval Reserve which could be utilized immediately upon the outbreak of a war. Realizing that the only class which would

.000.

*Adjutant General Report, 1896-1898, page 5.

**Adjutant General Report, 1896-1898, page 43.

***Adjutant General Report, 1899-1900, page 56.

****Adjutant General Report, 1899-1900, page 7.

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

meet the requirements and which might be made available in an emergency was that of the State Naval Militia organizations, the Department decided to give such of these organizations as would accept, a period of drill and instruction for one week at sea with a view to the practical instruction of the officers and men in actual man-of-war routine and in target practice under varying conditions.

Pursuant to advices received from the Navy Department presenting in detail the itinerary and instructions for the proposed cruise, the Naval Militia was ordered to assemble for a cruise and drill of not less than seven days on board the "U.S.S. Badger."* The entire Battalion could not be accommodated on board the "Badger" and as a result the forces were divided into two sections and two separate cruises were planned. The first section included Headquarters and Staff, the First, Second and Engineer Divisions of San Francisco and the Fifth Division of Eureka. The second section was made up of Headquarters and Staff, the Third Division of San Diego, the Fourth of Santa Cruz and the Sixth of Santa Barbara. The Fifth Division was picked up at Eureka September 3, 1899.** The San Francisco Divisions and Headquarters Officers and Staff went aboard the "Badger" at San Francisco, September fifth. The Eureka Division returned to their home port September eleventh, and the San Francisco Divisions returned home September thirteenth. The Fourth Division went aboard the "Badger" at Santa Cruz, September seventeenth, the Sixth Division at Santa Barbara on September eighteenth, and the Third Division at San Diego, September nineteenth. After completing the cruise, the San Diego Division landed September twenty-sixth, the Santa Barbara Division, September twenty-eighth, and the Santa Cruz Division, September twenty-ninth. The Headquarters Division and Staff also accompanied the Southern Divisions on their cruise, returning to San Francisco, September twenty-ninth.***

The cruise on board the "Badger" was satisfactory from every view-point. The officers and men of the Naval Militia, through Lieutenant Commander Nerney, mentioned in terms of highest praise, the constant and careful instruction they received from the officers, and men of the "Badger" during the cruise. Lieutenant Commander Nerney expressed the opinion that his organization had profited more in knowledge of

.000.

*Adjutant General Report, 1899-1900, General Order No. 8, pages 66, 67.

**Adjutant General Report, 1899-1900, pages 110-120.

***Adjutant General Report, 1899-1900, pages 114-117.

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

the art of naval warfare during the week's cruise than they would have in months of the present mode of drill and instruction in the Naval Militia.*

In his report on the cruise of the "Badger" for 1899, Lieutenant Commander W.H.H. Southerland of the U.S. Navy praised the 274 officers and enlisted men who participated, and expressed his belief that the week of training they received on the cruise tended more to increase the efficienty of the Naval Militia of California than a whole year of drills on shore. Lieutenant Southerland stated further, that the officers of the organization were unanimous in the opinion that it should be under the jurisdiction of the Navy Department instead of being under State control; with which opinion he heartily agreed. Lieutenant Southerland recommended further, that the "U. S. S. Marion", now being used as an armory by the San Francisco divisions, and the "U.S.S. Pinta", recently loaned to the State and being used by the Third Division at San Diego as a drill and instruction ship, be put in sea going condition and fitted with modern guns and equipment. The Lieutenant also recommended that these two vessels be used by the Naval Militia for cruising purposes, and that Naval officers be detailed to drill and instruct the different divisions of the organization.** A recommendation was also made that the divisions of the Naval Milita located at Eureka, Santa Cruz and Santa Barbara be supplied with steam launches fully equipped, these divisions being at a disadvantage without vessels of some description.

The chief reasons advanced by Lieutenant Southerland the the officers of the Naval Battalion, for segregating that organization from the National Guard proper, were:

- First The Naval Militia should be a National organization under control of the Navy Department instead of the State.
- Second Inspection of the Naval Militia should be made by Naval officers.
- Third The drills and exercises practiced by the several Divisions do not conform to the system in use in the Navy.
- Fourth The Divisions were stationed within the limits of three Brigades of the National Guard, and orders were sometimes conflicting.
- Fifth A misunderstanding concerning payment of cost of repairing the U.S. Ships "Marion" and "Pinta".

.000.

^{*}Adjutant General Report, 1899-1900, page 117.
**Adjutant General Report, 1899-1900, pages 112-113.

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

Taken as a whole, Lieutenant Southerland's report commended the officers and enlisted men of the Naval Militia highly for their professional knowledge and efficiency, discipline and physique, but left no doubt that from a naval standpoint, the policy then being pursued by the State, with reference to the Naval Battalion, would fail to promote the efficiency of that organization to the desired standard.

Lieutenant Southerland's recommendations and the sentiment developed within the Naval Battalion, probably brought about its reorganization, as at the next session of the State Legislature, a law was enacted which was approved by the Governor on the twenty-third of March 1901, substituting the title "Naval Militia" for "Naval Battalion", segregating that organization from the National Guard Organization, and placing it under the direct orders of the commander-in-chief, through the Adjutant General's Department. The new law also provided for the organization of a pay department, a medical department and a band of not less than twenty members; all to be rated the same as in the United States Navy. Under the law, the organization was to conform generally to the laws of the United States and the system of discipline and exercises was to conform as nearly as possible, to that of the United States Navy.*

The Navy tendered the use of the "U.S.S. Philadelphia" to the Naval Militia for a cruise during the months of September and October, 1901, and all of the divisions except the Fifth of Eureka participated. Following the same procedure as was followed in 1899. the organization made the cruise in two sections. The first section composed of Headquarters, Staff and the First, Second and Engineer Divisions of San Francisco went aboard the "Philadelphia", September twenty-third, proceeded south as far as Santa Barbara then returned to San Francisco, arriving at the latter city on September twentyninth. The cruise of the second section, composed of the members of Headquarters, Staff, the Fourth Division of Santa Cruz, the Sixth of Santa Barbara and the Third of San Diego, left San Francisco, October fourth and proceeded to Santa Cruz where the Fourth Division was taken on board. From Santa Cruz the "Philadelphia" went to Santa Barbara and picked up the Sixth Division, and from there to San Diego for the officers and men of the Third Division. After cruising for several days in southern waters, the "Philadelphia" headed north, reaching San Francisco on October 17, 1901.

The cruise on board the "Philadelphia" was satisfactory and beneficial to the officers and men of the Naval Militia, and the inability of the Fifth Division of Eureka to participate was regrettable. The Eureka company did in fact proceed to San Francisco as ordered. The "Philadelphia", however, was eight days late in her scheduled arrival

.000.

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

at the Bay City which fact was not learned until the Eureka Company had reached San Francisco, and as the private affairs of the officers and men would not permit them to await the arrival of the ship, they were permitted to return to their homes.*

The ships and small boats loaned from the Government and in use by the Naval Militia in 1902 were as follows:

The U.S.S. "Marion", stationed at San Francisco.

The U.S.S. "Pinta", stationed at San Diego.

Two Steam Launches, one of which was stationed at San Francisco and one at San Pedro.

Nine small boats with equipment stationed at Eureka. Santa Cruz and Santa Barbara.

Several small boats constituting part of the equipment of the "Marion" and the "Pinta".

The "Marion" and the "Pinta", loaned by the Navy Department to the State, were wooden vessels of obsolete type and not in condition to be propelled by their own steam; both vessels were being used as armories and for drill purposes. The Navy Department again in 1902 offered the use of a vessel for a cruise of the Naval Miltia, but owing to the uncetainty as to the date upon which the vessel would be available, the cruise was abandoned and the only activities of importance during the year were assemblies for discipline and drill on board the "Marion" and the "Pinta" during the months of August and September.**

The activities of the organization during the year 1903 were limited to routine drill and practice. In the summer of 1904, Rear Admiral Merrill Miller, U.S.N. in command of the Pacific Coast Defense District notified Captain George W. Bauer, that the Navy Department had authorized the use of the tugs at the Mare Island Navy Yard for the purpose of giving the men of the Naval Militia practical experience afloat. The tug "Fortune" was assigned for the first trips to be made by the San Francisco Division, September seventeenth and twenty-fourth. Two three day trips were planned for October when the Eureka and Santa Cruz Divisions were to be taken out and instructed in standing watch, steering and other details of seamanship. Further

.000.

*Adjutant General Report, 1901-1902, pages 150-151. **Adjutant General Report, 1901-1902, pages 6, 7.

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

plans were made to have at least one tug boat voyage each month.*

Efforts were repeatedly made by State officials and officers of the

Naval Militia to secure serviceable vessels from the Navy Department to replace the obsolete "Marion" and "Pinta", but their efforts
were unavailing until June 10, 1906, when the U.S.S. "Alert was
formally turned over to the State in exchange for the unserviceable
"Marion", and was placed in the custody of the San Francisco divisions.** The acquisition of the "Alert", a third rate cruiser, but
a seaworthy vessel, was welcomed by the organization and was expected
to be a material factor in increasing the interest and efficienty of
the officers and men of that branch of the service.

The earthquake of April 18, 1906, which was followed by a devastating fire in the city of San Francisco, was the direct cause for calling into active service, the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Sixth and Engineer Divisions of the Naval Militia.*** Owing to the isolated location of the Fifth Division at Eureka, it was deemed inadvisable to order it into service.

The following Divisions of the Naval Militia of California were called into active service, subsequent to the earthquake and fire in San Francisco, during April and May, 1906.

	George W. Ba	uer, Lieut	enant-Commander	
Division	Date called	Command-	Where Stationed	Date
and	into	ing	While in	Dis-
Home Station	Active Service	Officers	Active Service	missed
First Division			San Francisco	May 31,
San Francisco	April 18, 1906		April 18 to May 31	1906
Second Division			San Francisco	May 31,
San Francisco	April 18, 1906		April 18 to May 31	1906
Third Division			San Francisco	May 19,
San Diego	April 22, 1906		April 22 to May 19	1906
Fourth Division			San Francisco	May 19,
Santa Cruz	April 21, 1906		April 21 to May 19	1906
Sixth Division		-	San Francisco	May 18,
Santa Barbara	April 23, 1906		April 23 to May 18	1906
Engineer Division			San Francisco	May 31,
San Francisco	April 18, 1906		April 18 to May 31	1906

Two provisional Brigade was stationed in Oakland and Alameda and the Second, to which the Naval Militia was attached, was stationed in San Francisco under command of Brigadier General John A. Koster.****
The principal work performed by the members of the Naval Militia,

^{*}San Francisco Chronicle, July 31, 1904, page 44, column 5.

**Adjutant General Report, 1906, page 4.

***Adjutant General Report, 1906, pages 4, 9.

****Adjutant General Report, 1906, pages 58-65.

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

during the time they were on active duty in the distressed city, was aiding the local authorities in conjunction with the National Guard and Regular Troops in clearing debris from the streets, preserving order and assisting in relief work. The officers and men were highly praised by their superiors for their work and soldierly bearing while on active duty, and for the cheerful manner with which they sacrificed their personal interests and obeyed the command to assist their fellow citizens in the stricken city. An example of the determination of these men to perform their duty regardless of consequences was found in Los Angeles. Although more than twenty-five of the forty-two members of the detachment of the Engineer Division stationed in that city were threatened with the loss of their jobs if they responded to the call to report for duty in San Francisco after the earthquake, but the threat did not deter them, and every man of the detachment for duty.*

As a result of numerous applications the Secretary of the Navy finally issued instructions for the delivery of the "U.S.S. Pinta" to the Mare Island Navy Yard. This vessel which had been stationed at San Diego since 1897, for the use of the Third Division and a detachment from the Engineer Division, was of obsolete type and not in condition to be propelled by her own steam. Pursuant to instructions, the vessel was returned to the naval authorities at Mare Island, November 16, 1907.**

An act of the Legislature approved March 21, 1907, authorized an increase in the Naval Militia of the State from seven to nine Divisions. As the Los Angeles Detachment of the Engineer Division exceeded the minimum membership required by law for a separate organization they were desirous of forming a new Division to be stationed at Los Angeles.

In accordance with General Order No. 12, approved May 4, 1907, the First and Second detachments, Engineer Division, located respectively at San Francisco and Los Angeles, were designated as First Engineer Division and Second Engineer Division respectively, such designation to become effective, May 10, 1907.***

On the nineteenth of August 1907, General Order No. 18 was issued providing that on and after September 1, of that year, the First Engineer Division, located in San Francisco, should be known and designated as the Engineer Division, Naval Militia of California, and that the Second Engineer Division be changed to a Deck Division to be known and designated as the Seventh Division, Naval Militia of California,

1000.

^{*}Letter dated May 28, 1906, from Lieutenant Commander A. H. Woodbine to Captain George W. Bauer, on file Adjutant General Office.

^{**}Adjutant General Report, 1907-1908, page 6.

^{***}General and Special Orders and Circulars 1907, General Order No. 12.

Formerly

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

ornia, stationed at Los Angeles.* This order restored to the San Francisco Gompany their original designation as the Engineer Division, Naval Militia of California. The records do not show why the Los Angeles organization was changed from an Engineer to a Deck Division; but as there was no ship stationed in that vicinity for the use of the Naval Militia at the time, it would seem that the title Deck Division was more appropriate. After these changes were accomplished the Naval Militia consisted of eight divisions.

Pursuant to General Order No. 13, dated May 11, 1907, the several divisions of the Naval Militia embarked on a cruise on board the "U.S.S. Alert". The San Francisco and Eureka Divisions went on board at Sausalito, June thirtieth, and proceeded to Santa Cruz, Santa Barbara and Venice, taking on board additional divisions of the organization at each of these points. The ship proceeded from Venice to Avalon, Catalina Island, where they remained until July eighth, when the return trip was begun. The ship arrived at Sausalito July 15, 1907. The trip was satisfactory in every respect, and both officers and men derived much benefit from the experience.**

Another cruise of the Naval Militia on the "U.S.S. Alert" was made in 1908. The officers and men of the Headquarters Divison, and the First, Second and Engineer Divisions going on board at Sausalito early on the morning of June twenty-eighth and sailing south via Santa Cruz, Santa Barbara, San Pedro and San Diego, taking on board the officers and men of the Fourth, Sixth, Seventh and Third Divisions. This brought the total of officers and men of the Naval Militia on board to two hundred sixty-two, in addition to which there were six regular Navy men. Owing to the limited accomodations of the "Alert", the entire Fifth Division stationed Eureka, had to be left at home and the numbers of the other divisions were limited. The "Alert" left San Diego, July third and arrived at Avalon, Santa Catalina Island that afternoon. It was the intention of Lieutenant Commander George W. Bauer to spend two or three days at Avalon for boat drill, landing force, abandon ship and other customary ship drills; but on the evening of July fourth and incident occured on the Island which led to a dispute between Lieutenant Commander Bauer of the Naval Militia and Mr. F.H. Lowe, resident manager for the Santa Catalina Island Company, who owned and conducted a hotel and a large open dancing pavilion at Avalon.*** During the evening of July

.000.

**General and Special orders and Circulars 1907. General Order No. 18.

**Adjutant General Report, 1907-1908, page 53.

***Adjutant General Report, 1909-1910, page 65.

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

Fourth, a dance was in progress in the pavilion. The officers of the Naval Militia had been invited to participate, but no invitation was received on behalf of the enlisted men. Notwithstanding this, some of the men entered the dancing pavilion as the place was evidently open to the general public and no invitiation was deemed necessary. If there were any special requirements for admission to the pavilion, no officer of the "Alert" was made acquainted with them and every one who desired to do so passed in and without question, ticket or invitation.

Sometime between nine and ten P.M., the management through its employees, requested several enlisted men from the "Alert", who were in the pavilion to leave the hall and denied admission to other men. The reason for this action as explained by the manager to Thomas B. W. Leland, Surgeon of the Naval Militia, was that the management did not desire to have men in the bluejacket uniform on the dancing floor, although the officers and men of the "Alert" immediately withdrew from the pavilion and were subsequently sent on board the "Alert" preparatory to sailing.

Upon learning the state of affairs, Lieutenant Commander Bauer personally requested the manager of the pavilion to state his reasons for excluding the men and was told that the men were "drunk and disorderly." Lieutenant Commander Bauer immediately investigated these charges and finding them to be flase, he informed the management of the resort, that in his opinion the men were denied admission to the pavilion on account of their uniform. The manager denied this and again repeated the charge that the men were intoxicated, whereupon, Commander Bauer became aggravated and informed the manager that he, Commander Bauer considered him a "D---d liar". At this, the manager of the resort, apparetnly surmising that if the altercation was to be continued, his own physical well being would be best secured by carrying it on by correspondence, beat a hasty retreat toward the hotel entrance. Commander Bauer then ordered all his officers and men to board the "Alert". In compliance with orders, the Naval Militia sailed from Avalon shortly after midnight for San Diego where the officers and men were taken on board the United States Torpedo Boat Destroyers "Preble", "Farragut" and "Perry" for instruction in the workings of these vessels. From San Diego the "Alert" proceeded to San Pedro, then on to Santa Barbara and via Santa Cruz to Sausalito, arriving there at noon July 12. The cruise was considered by far the most

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

satisfactory that the Naval Militia had undertaken up to that time and there remained no doubt of the ability of officers and men to navigate the waters off the California coast.

In regards to the Avalon affair the management of the resort sought to lead the Navy Department and the Adjutant General's Department to believe that the men were denied admission to the pavilion because they were intoxicated and disorderly, and that the commanding officer of the "Alert" used violent and abusive language in his interviews with the manager, that the commander was under the influence of liquor at the time. The letter from the Avalon Company to the Adjutant General was accompanied by signed statements of several employees of the company, asserting that they believed that the men from the "Alert" were under the influence of liquor while on shore leave the evening of July Fourth, but no proof of this assertion was submitted. It is worthy of mention that in his written statement of the occurrence, the manager of the Avalon Company did not make a direct assertion, that either Captain Bauer or his men were intoxicated on July Fourth, although his subordinates did. In reply, Lieutenant Commander Bauer, in a written report of the incident, made a general denial of the accusations set forth in the statements of the Avalon Company and its employees. His statements were supported by the affidavits of a score of the officers and men of his command, completely refuting all charges made by the Avalon Company and establishing to the satisfaction of the commander-in-chief and the Adjutant General, that the men of the Naval Militia were denied admission to a public dancing pavilion at Avalon for the sole reason that they wore the bluejacket uniform.

Captain Bauer's position was fully sustained by the Governor and the Adjutant General, and his statement supported by the affidavits of the officers and men of his command, were considered sufficient reputation of the charges made by the Santa Catalina Island Company. No further investigation or inquiry in connection with the incident was made. It had been determined to the satisfaction of the Commander-in-Chief and the Adjutant General that the Naval Militia of the State had been insulted at Avalon on July Fourth. The commanding officer was advised on August 12, 1902, that "in the future, no organization of the National Guard or Naval Militia of this State would be permitted to land on Santa Catalina Island while under the then existing ownerhsip and management, except for purley necessay military purposes."*

Another cruise on board the "Alert" was undertaken on July 4, 1909, when a limited number of officers and men from Headquarters, and the First, Second, Fifth and Engineer Divisions together with a detail

.000.

*Affidavits, and correspondence between Lieutenant Commander Bauer, the Santa Catalina Island Company and the Adjutant General, on file in Adjutant General's Office.

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

of officers from the Navy Department, set sail from Sausalito. The course was southerly via Santa Cruz, where a detachment of the Fourth Division went on board; then on to Santa Barbara to pick up a detachment of the Sixth Division. From that point to Los Angeles for a portion of the Seventh Division and then on to San Diego for a number of officers and men of the Third Division, making a total of two hundred thirty-four officers and men aboard, including the Navy officers. The limited accomodations on board the vessel made it necessary to limit the number from each division of the force. From San Diego the organization proceeded to San Pedro where it remained several days during which time the officers and the men were taken on board the "U.S.S. "Albany" where they were instructed and exercised at the guns, loading machines and other work of their respective divisions. While at San Pedro, every courtesy was extended the Naval Militia by the officers and crew of the "Albany". The vessel sailed from San Pedro, July fifteenth, on the return trip, putting in at Santa Barbara and Santa Cruz to allow the Sixth and Fourth Divisions to disembark, and arrived at Sausalito, July eighteenth. Between Santa Barbara and Santa Cruz on the return trip several gales were encountered and the vessel made slow progress, showing as little as two knots per hour, although the indicator showed full speed and a full head of steam was kept up.*

The 1909 cruise was satisfactory from a Naval standpoint, but considerable dissatisfaction was expressed with the limited accomodations and slow speed of the "Alert". In his report on the cruise to the Adjutant General, Lieutenant Commander Bauer of the Naval Militia urgently requested the department to consider the advisability of securing a faster and more commodious ship for the use of the naval forces of the State.** As a result, an exchange with the Department of the Navy was made on March 21, 1910, and the "U.S.S. Marblehead" was turned over to the State for the use of the Naval Militia. The "Marblehead" was a comparatively modern vessel of greater tonnage and with accomodations for a much larger crew than the "Alert", thus affording better facilities as a training ship.***

The cruise of 1910, on board the "Marblehead" was begun July second, at Sausalito and ended July sixteenth. After passing through the Golden Gate the route chosen was northward via Eureka and Seattle, Washington to Bremerton. All the divisions of the naval Militia were represented on this cruise. School for officers and men was held on board with Lieutenant B. Y. Rhodes, United States Navy, detailed by

.000.

*Adjutant General Report, 1909-1910, pages 67-68.

**Adjutant General Report, 1909-1910, pages 67-68.

***Adjutant General Report, 1910, page 5

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

the Navy Department, as instructor. Practice was had in the various customary drills, including gum drills and signaling as well as the routine duties aboard ship. The cruise, which was anost profitable one for the Naval Militia, was followed by an inspection of the force on July seventeenth, at San Francisco by Rear Admiral T. S. Phelps, United States Navy, who made a very encouraging and complimentary inspection report.*

In 1911, matters improved rapidly with the Naval Militia of California. A competent official was placed in charge of the Division of Naval Militia Affairs at Washington, D. C., an enthusiastic worker was detailed as instructor with the California Naval Militia and that organization was equipped with modern rifles and accourrements.** An additional division, designated as the Eighth Division, stationed at Los Angeles, was organized April 11, 1911, making a total of nine divisions in the Naval Militia of the State.***

Another cruise on board the "U.S.S. Marblehead" in which all the divisions of the Naval Militia were represented, was held July 1 to July 16, 1911. The "Marblehead" was already found to be too small to accomodate the full strength of the organization and efforts were being made to secure one or two additional ships from the Navy Department.***

Early in 1912, Lieutenant Benjamin G. Barthalow of the Regular Navy, was detailed as instructor for the Naval Militia of California and under his guidance regular gun crews were organized and trained. On July 6, 1912, these gun crews with as many officers and men of the Naval Militia as could be accomodated on board the "Marblehead," steamed for Bremerton and Port Angeles for target practice for the first time with large caliber guns. The target practice was done under the official inspection of Lieutenant Barthalow, United States Naw, and was officially reported by him to the Navy Department. The record established by the Naval Militia of California at this shoot was its crowning achievement, and was in fact marvelous for new men. The shooting was claimed by Adjutant General Edwin A. Forbes, to be the best ever done in the world by any gun crews of any navy at any time or place.***** The scores were made at a range of sixteen hundred yards

.000.

Reports of Lieutenant B. Y. Rhode, United States Navy, Rear Admiral T. S. Phelfs, United States Navy and Captain George W. Bauer, Commanding the Naval Milita in 1910 cruise on board the "Marblehead."

***Adjutant General Report, 1910-1914, page 24.

***Adjutant General Report, 1910-1914, page 84.

****Adjutant General Report, 1910-1914, page 24.

*****Adjutant General Report, 1910-1914, pages 26, 27.

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

at a regulation target, with four inch guns and with the "Marblehead" traveling at a speed of eight knots, sixty-five consecutive hits were made. This record, and the fact that the Naval Militia of California stood second in point of numbers compared with other states of the union and had competent licensed navigators, marine engineers, wireless men and other necessary mechanics, fully justified all the effort and expenditure of money for its support.*

During the interval from 1912 to 1916, the Naval Militia continued to progress. Annual cruises were made with the "U.S.S. Marblehead" in 1913, 1914 and 1915. Target practice was had July 3 to July 18, 1915, on board the United States Ships "Marblehead," "Farragut," "Lawrence," "Hopkins," "Hull" and "Whipple," but no new record was established.** In the fall of 1915, the outgrown "U.S.S. Marblehead" was returned to the United States Navy Yard and the Navy Department assigned the "U.S.S. Oregon" for the use of the Eureka, San Francisco and Santa Cruz Divisions and the "U.S.S. Farragut" for the use of the divisions stationed at Santa Barbara, Los Angeles and San Diego.*** A practice cruise for the four northern divisions was had on board the "U.S.S. Oregon", July 15 to 29, 1916, and for the southern divisions, August 5 to August 19, 1916, on board the same vessel.****

An aeronautic section of the Ninth Division was organized at Los Angeles in March 1916, and from September 9 to 22, of that year, the members of that section joined with the United States Navy Personnel in a camp of instruction at North Island, California. Later that same year, certain members of the aeronautic section were chosen to pursue a course of instruction at the Naval Flying School, Pensacola, Florida, for three months beginning December 1, 1916.

The great number of applications for action membership in the Naval Militia was primarily responsible for the enactment of laws authorizing more divisions in that organization. The Federal Government showed increased interest by supplying modern ships, equipment and a corps of instructors for the State Naval Forces. It is quite reasonable to assume that the possibility of the United States becoming involved in the World War contributed greatly to the interest taken in the organization. A law authorizing the Naval Militia to consist of not more than the twelve divisions was approved by the Governor, March 22, 1909,*****

*Adjutant General Report, 1910-1914, pages 28-30.

**Adjutant General Report, 1914-1920, page 62.

***Adjutant General Report, 1914-1920, page 29.

****Adjutant General Report, 1914-1920, page 62.

*******California Statututes 1909, page 622, chapter 378.

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

and on August 8, 1915, another law became effective which raised the number of divisions and companies of this branch of the State Service to fourteen.*

Following this legislation, new divisions, companies and sections, were added to the Naval Militia of California as follows:

Ninth Division, stationed at Los Angeles, organized November 19. 1914.

Tenth Division, stationed at San Diego, organized January 26, 1916.

Aeronautic Section of the Ninth Division, stationed at Los Angeles, organized March 3, 1916.

Eleventh Division, stationed at Los Angeles, organized April 9, 1917.

Second Engineer Division, stationed at Los Angeles, organized April 4, 1917.

First Marine Company, stationed at Los Angeles, organized April 4, 1917.

Engineer Section of the Fourth Division, stationed at Santa Cruz, organized April 6, 1917.**

Due in great measure to the assistance of the Federal Government, the Naval Militia of California made splendid progress during the years 1914 to 1917, reaching the full quota of divisions and companies permitted under the State law and a numerical stength of nearly one thousand officers and enlisted men. The increased interest of the United States Navy Department in the State Naval force was evidently due to the possibility that this country might eventually be forced to take part in the great war which was then raging in Europe, and in the event of such participation the Navy would be in great need of trained officers and men.

On April 6, 1917, Governor William D. Stephens received a telegram from the Secretary of the Navy, calling the Naval Militia into the Federal Service and upon the Governor's orders the officers and men were immediately directed to assemble at their armories and prepare for Muster.*** The following organizations were mustered in as Naval Volunteers:

First Division, San Francisco Second Division, San Francisco First Engineer Division, San Francisco

.000.

*California Statutes 1915, page 661, chapter 409.
**Adjutant General Report, 1914-1920, page 29.
***Adjutant General Report, 1914-1920, page 29.

(NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

Third Division, San Diego
Fourth Division, Santa Cruz
Engineer Section, Fourth Division, Santa Cruz
Fifth Division, Eureka
Sixth Division, Eanta Barbara
Seventh Division, Los Angeles
Eighth Division, Los Angeles
Ninth Division, Los Angeles
Aeronautic Section, Ninth Division, Los Angeles
Tenth Division, San Diego
Eleventh Division, Los Angeles
Second Engineer Division, Los Angeles
First Marine Company, Los Angeles

The entire organization was subsequently mobilized on board the "U.S.S. Oregon", "U.S.S. San Diego" and "U.S.S. Huntington" at Mare Island, California.* Officers and men were assigned to duty on vessels of the Pacific Fleet, under orders to proceed to the Atlantic coast, the members were also assigned other duties ashore and aboard ships, and in conjunction with their duties were taken to all parts of the world.**

The Naval Militia of California was acknowledged by the United States Navy Department as one of the best organizations of its kind to enter the Federal service and the group of grim seamen who were mobilized at Mare Island that day in April 1917, ready to embark in the service of their country, was a vastly different aggregation from the handful to carefree youngsters who formed the original Naval Battalion and strutted about the deck of the old Charleston in San Francisco Harbor twenty-six years before.

No provision was made for a reorganization of the Naval Militia after the World War, although the organization had preformed valuable service which more than justified its existence. In his report for the two years ending June 30, 1930, Adjutant General R. E. Mittelstaedt, stated that the United States Naval Reserve Force, which consisted largely of former members of the Naval Militia, was desirous of resuming its former status as a part of the armed forces of the State. The Adjutant General anticipated that such a measure would increase the efficiency of that branch of the Naval service and recommended its favorable consideration by the State and National Governments.*** A barrier to such legislation exists in the form of an act passed by the 68th Congress, which provides for the creation, organization, administration and

.000.

*Adjutant General Report, 1914-1920, page 29.
**Adjutant General Report, 1914-1920, page 29.
***Adjutant General Report, 1928-1930, page 7.

Formerly (NAVAL BATTALION OF THE NATIONAL GUARD OF CALIFORNIA)

maintenance of a Naval Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve. Section 28 of this act states that no facilities of the Regular Navy shall be furnished for use by any portion or unit of the Navy Militia unless at least ninety-five of its personnel has been appointed or enlisted in the Fleet Naval Reserve and unless its organization, administration and training conform to the standard prescribed by the Secretary of the Navy for such units.*

.000.

*Public Bill No. 512, passed by the 68th United States Congress February 28, 1925.